Applicable to

Staffing

GH

GH GMH

GH

GMH

GH

GMH ΑII

GH Urgent Care - I

Outcome meas

Measure Standard Target

Referrals and Contacts

Number and % of children and young people aged under 18 with a diagnosable mental health condition treated by NHS funded community services

30% 17/18; 32% 18/19

Number of new referrals received

%age of referrals triaged by SPA

90%

%age of referrals "accepted" by Getting Help and Getting More Help

80%

Source of referral - primary care; education; social services; self referral; other

Number of assessments (face to face or other) + telephone

Outcome of assessments (stay with the assessing team); (referred on Getting more help);(referred onto other NTW services);discharged

Total Number of sessions provided in the month and number of sessions per child/ young person in the month. Length of episode of care and average number of sessions per case Age band '0-4, 5-8, 9-13, 14-18 by intervention, monthly: data to include number and % of males, females, non gender specific, LGBTQ. BME, Looked after Children, Young Offenders and Carers

% Place of delivery e.g. community venue, school, home, other

Time of intervention data to include am, pm evening and weekends

Reason for referral (need categories) e.g. self harm, depression, bullying, gender issues

Conversion rates

DNA Rate getting help and getting more help

<15%

Number and %age of Getting Help referrals returned to SPA

<2.5%

Number and %age of Getting More Help referrals returned to SPA

Cancellation rate - service/Patient

Drop out rate 1st, 2nd contact

Number and % of discharges

<10%

%Patients discharged shown by number of appts

<10%

Waiting Times Getting Help and Getting More Help		
Waiting time from referral to Assessment	within 2 weeks	95%
Waiting time from referral to Urgent assessment	Within 48 hours	95%
Percentage of patients seen with second contact within 12 weeks of referral (Ref to 2nd Treatment (2nd appointment))	12 weeks	95%
Percentage of patients seen with second contact within 9 weeks of referral (Ref to 2nd Treatment (2nd appointment)) Percentage of patients seen with second contact within 4 weeks of referral (Ref to 2nd	9 weeks	95%
Treatment (2nd appointment))	4 weeks	95%
Number and percentage of patients on waiting list waiting more than 4 weeks	4 weeks	
Number and percentage of patients on waiting list waiting more than 9 weeks	9 weeks	
Number and percentage of patients on waiting list waiting more than 12 weeks	12 weeks	

Number and percentage of patients on waiting list waiting more than 18 weeks	18 weeks
Number and percentage of patients on waiting list waiting more than 24 weeks	24 weeks
Number and percentage of patients on waiting list waiting more than 36 weeks	36 weeks

Number and percentage of patients on waiting list waiting more than 36 weeks	36 weeks	
Waiting Times Autism and ADHD		
Waiting time from referral to Assessment	within 2 weeks	95%
Waiting time from referral to Urgent assessment	Within 48 hours	95%
Percentage of patients seen with second contact within 12 weeks of referral (Ref to 2nd Treatment (2nd appointment))	12 weeks	95%
Percentage of patients seen with second contact within 9 weeks of referral (Ref to 2nd Treatment (2nd appointment))	9 weeks	95%
Percentage of patients seen with second contact within 4 weeks of referral (Ref to 2nd Treatment (2nd appointment))	4 weeks	95%
Number and percentage of patients on waiting list waiting more than 4 weeks	4 weeks	
Number and percentage of patients on waiting list waiting more than 9 weeks	9 weeks	
Number and percentage of patients on waiting list waiting more than 12 weeks	12 weeks	
Number and percentage of patients on waiting list waiting more than 18 weeks	18 weeks	
Number and percentage of patients on waiting list waiting more than 24 weeks	24 weeks	
Number and percentage of patients on waiting list waiting more than 36 weeks	36 weeks	
% of Schools with a named Link Worker Average Caseload FTE Clinician/Therapist		
Average Caseload FTE Clinician/Therapist Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Sickness absence rate Staff Sickness absence rate E&D staff survey by band Average Caseload Youth Offending Service Clinician/Therapist	suggested suggested	25 15 <5% <5% <5% <5%
Average Caseload FTE Clinician/Therapist Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Sickness absence rate Staff Sickness absence rate E&D staff survey by band	00	15 <5% <5% <5%
Average Caseload FTE Clinician/Therapist Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Sickness absence rate Staff Sickness absence rate E&D staff survey by band Average Caseload Youth Offending Service Clinician/Therapist CTS Number of referrals to ICTS seen within 4 hours of referral	00	15 <5% <5% <5%
Average Caseload FTE Clinician/Therapist Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Sickness absence rate Staff Sickness absence rate E&D staff survey by band Average Caseload Youth Offending Service Clinician/Therapist CTS Number of referrals to ICTS seen within 4 hours of referral Number of Crisis Plans issued Percentage of patients seen face to face within 4 hours by suitably trained practitioner (urgent	suggested 4 hours	15 <5% <5% <5% <5%
Average Caseload FTE Clinician/Therapist Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Sickness absence rate Staff Sickness absence rate E&D staff survey by band Average Caseload Youth Offending Service Clinician/Therapist CTS Number of referrals to ICTS seen within 4 hours of referral Number of Crisis Plans issued Percentage of patients seen face to face within 4 hours by suitably trained practitioner (urgent response) sures	suggested 4 hours	15 <5% <5% <5% <5% 95%
Average Caseload FTE Clinician/Therapist Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Sickness absence rate Staff Sickness absence rate E&D staff survey by band Average Caseload Youth Offending Service Clinician/Therapist CTS Number of referrals to ICTS seen within 4 hours of referral Number of Crisis Plans issued Percentage of patients seen face to face within 4 hours by suitably trained practitioner (urgent response)	suggested 4 hours	15 <5% <5% <5% <5%
Average Caseload FTE Clinician/Therapist Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Sickness absence rate Staff Sickness absence rate E&D staff survey by band Average Caseload Youth Offending Service Clinician/Therapist CTS Number of referrals to ICTS seen within 4 hours of referral Number of Crisis Plans issued Percentage of patients seen face to face within 4 hours by suitably trained practitioner (urgent response) sures Percentage of CAMHS patients aged 17.5 plus with a transition plan Percentage of patients with a paried PROM in the reporting period percentage of patients with a paired CROM in the reporting period	suggested 4 hours	15 <5% <5% <5% <5% 95% 90% 90% 90%
Average Caseload FTE Clinician/Therapist Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Vacancies as a %age of structure Staff Sickness absence rate Staff Sickness absence rate E&D staff survey by band Average Caseload Youth Offending Service Clinician/Therapist CTS Number of referrals to ICTS seen within 4 hours of referral Number of Crisis Plans issued Percentage of patients seen face to face within 4 hours by suitably trained practitioner (urgent response) ures Percentage of CAMHS patients aged 17.5 plus with a transition plan Percentage of patients with a paried PROM in the reporting period	suggested 4 hours	15 <5% <5% <5% <5% 95% 90% 90%